



## Response to Office Action of December 21<sup>st</sup> 2004

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. Please submit the documents listed under Non-Patent Literature Documents in the IDS filed 11/07/2003 for them to be considered by the examiner.

### **Response:**

Documents are included.

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract ....
2. The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to single paragraph.... The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims such as "means" and "said" should be avoided.... It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns", "The disclosure defined by this invention" "The disclosure describes", etc...
3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the legal phraseology "comprises" Correction is required

### **Response:**

Please see amended Abstract at the end of this document.

### ***Drawings***

4. Figure 8 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art -- .... The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet"

### **Response:**

Figure 8 is "Partly previous art", since the tuner structure shown is prior art, but the probes shown inside the tuner are the metallic-dielectric probes claimed for patent protection in this application.